

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Norris
Given Name	Thomas Michael
Person ID	1286171
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Norris
Given Name	Thomas Michael
Person ID	1286171
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	You can't comprehend the difference between legally compliant and sound practical commonsense
Family Name	Norris
Given Name	Thomas Michael
Person ID	1286171
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Meet our housing need 2. Create neighbourhoods of choice 3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved 4. Maximise the potential arising from our national and international assets 5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity 6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information 7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces 9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	As per previous comments
Family Name	Norris
Given Name	Thomas Michael
Person ID	1286171
Title	JP-J 1 Supporting Long Term Economic Growth
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Jobs !!! They are nearly all warehousing and distribution and virtually no manufacturing
Family Name	Norris
Given Name	Thomas Michael
Person ID	1286171
Title	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The number of houses built or under construction in the Southern half of Wigan MBC far exceeds our share of development
Family Name	Norris
Given Name	Thomas Michael
Person ID	1286171
Title	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>I chaired a Council Township Forum for several years. There were big issues over affordable housing for first-time buyers. On one estate where such subsidies were in place. Nobody took up the offer, Though all the occupants were young. Even with the subsidy, none could get a mortgage on their own. Parents had gone in as joint mortgagees to get their offspring on the property ladder.</p> <p>In law, it meant the properties were the parent"s second homes and excluded from subsidies.</p>
Family Name	Norris
Given Name	Thomas Michael
Person ID	1286171

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Title	JP-H 3 Type Size and Design of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	At Mosley Common all the new houses being built are around half a million pounds. Nothing affordable by most at those prices
Family Name	Norris
Given Name	Thomas Michael
Person ID	1286171
Title	JP-H 4 Density of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Norris
Given Name	Thomas Michael
Person ID	1286171
Title	JPA 34 M6 Junction 25
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Legally compliant and practical commonsense are two concepts. There is no practical commonsense in the proposals
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	The whole layout needs redesigning . Problems arise from Northbound traffic having to climb a steep hill and the current joining motorway is out of alignment.
Family Name	Norris
Given Name	Thomas Michael
Person ID	1286171
Title	JPA 36: Pocket Nook
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to	This land contains a pond with Greater Crested Newts and also some badger sets. Adjacent land that has just had a big housing estate built by Permission they rode roughshod over Wigan Building Control. Three ponds were filled in causing flooding to adjacent properties. These proposals are a result of the 2005 Unitary Development plan. They revolved around the construction of the A5225. The Whitehall Inspector said there was no money to build the road and the only land he could find was Greenbelt at the side of the A580.

co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	This is the last remaining plot of that Greenbelt that has not been built on.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	It should not go ahead as per reasons already given. Wigan have built and building properties far in excess of requirements